

## $\underline{\text{Annex A}}$ : Alternative options explored for the administration of the Facility and key reasons for not exploring further<sup>1</sup>

	Option	Advantages	Disadvantages	Key reasons for not
1.		No recourse to Gavi itself, means Gavi's balance sheet is not at risk as a result of the COVAX Facility.     Gavi's ownership of the new legal entity however lends Gavi's credibility and experience to the structure.     Opportunity to design a bespoke administration architecture for the COVAX Facility.     Outsourcing of administration to Gavi, and a third-party treasury manager leverages existing expertise from previous innovative finance mechanisms, including the	High transaction costs     Additional structural complexity brought by the additional entity.     The setup of the new legal entity is a condition precedent for being able to enter into transactions with manufacturers which will delay implementation and achievement of the Facility's objectives and this could take up to a month just to set up     Unclear whether sufficient advantage would be obtained over using Gavi's existing structure, given the short period the Facility is	Key reasons for not exploring further  • Need to set up of the legal entity resulting in delays and high transaction costs
2.	An existing	Pneumo AMC.  Counterparties (e.g. manufacturers, and participants) have no contractual recourse beyond the assets owned by this new affiliated legal entity. The entity would report to its own Board of Directors.  Provides credibility of an	expected to be operational     Introduces additional governance bodies into decision making, leading to potential cross over with Gavi's governance and creating complexities  Hard to ensure a	Need to (i) identify a
	third-party organisation, should one be identified administers the COVAX Facility	experienced third-party administrator for such a high- profile project  • Keeps distinct roles of Self- financing Participants and Funded Participants  • Minimises/eliminated Gavi Balance Sheet risk	seamless cooperation between the COVAX AMC administered by Gavi and a third party administering the COVAX Facility  • Level of experience in vaccine delivery and credibility with high income and upper middle-income economies and manufacturers from a third party would need to be assessed  • Additional steps would be expected to have the Secretariat handing over the expressions of interest and build a new relationship between Self- financing Participants and the third party  • Separate entities administering the COVAX	third party with required level of expertise and credibility and (ii) handover to such third party resulting in delays  Requested level of cooperation between AMC and Facility expected to create structural complexity





3. A new legal entity (not	Provides a clear and distinct framework	AMC and COVAX Facility may be disruptive, inefficient and more costly  Introduces additional governance bodies into decision making leading to potential cross over with Gavi's governance and creating complexities  Is likely to slow down the deal making and effort at a time when speed is critical to access vaccines  High transaction costs  Delays the date by which	Risk that participants and manufacturers have
affiliated to Gavi) is established to administer the Facility	Opportunity to design a bespoke administration architecture for the COVAX Facility	the Facility would become operational, considering time to incorporate, status of organisation (non-profit, IO, etc.), staffing, putting in place a new board, etc  There may be a lack of confidence to participate in a newly established entity and signing up in a short time frame	sufficient trust in a newly established entity  • Delays resulting from the set up