Annex B: Update on Gavi 6.0 Fragile & Humanitarian (F&H) approach – emerging direction and problem statements

BOARD MEETING 4-5 December 2024, Bali, Indonesia



gavi.org

# Agenda

 Context and objectives of the Fragile & Humanitarian (F&H) approach

2. Situational analysis

3. Questions and problem statements to be addressed





Context and objectives of the Fragile & Humanitarian (F&H) approach

Improving the Alliance's approach to F&H settings is an opportunity to deliver on Gavi 6.0 ambition, reach millions of additional children and advance delivery of equity

People in humanitarian need in 2023 worldwide OCHA Humanitarian Action Overview 2023

110 million

363

million

Under-18 children targeted worldwide via humanitarian response plans UNICEF Humanitarian Appeal 2023



**Under-five children** in Gaviclassified **fragile countries** *Gavi classification, WHO data* 

# **Reimagining support in Fragile & Humanitarian settings**

### Context



Fragile and humanitarian settings are a substantial proportion of Gavi portfolio, and immunisation outcomes are lagging versus others

- 27% of zero-dose children in Gavi-eligible reside in fragile & conflict (F&C) countries
- DTP3 coverage in F&C countries 59% versus 80% in Gavi-eligible countries
- Challenges in fragile & humanitarian settings are growing
- 11pp increase in the proportion of zero-dose children living in F&C countries within Gavi-eligible countries from 2013 to 2023
- 5pp drop in MCV1 coverage in F&C countries from 2019 to 2023



The Alliance has the opportunity to rise to this increasing challenge, with Gavi 6.0 foreseeing a radically different approach to F&H settings

- Opportunity to reach millions of additional children (e.g., >3 million children in F&C countries) with life-saving vaccines and truly deliver equity
- Gavi 6.0 requires differentiated, fragile-responsive support tailored to regional, national & subnational needs (Gavi 6.0 one-pager)

**Note:** Due to limited availability of immunisation data in fragile and humanitarian settings, statistics from Fragile & Conflict countries serve as proxies. The scope of the Fragile & Humanitarian approach encompasses all Gavi-classified fragile countries and humanitarian settings in Gavi-eligible countries and middle-income countries (MICs).

### **Objectives**

2

3

Outline Gavi's level of ambition across strategic goals in F&H settings

Determine what Gavi needs to do differently to deliver on Gavi's ambition

Develop an implementation plan to deliver on the new approach

# **Recap:** Supporting immunisation in fragile & humanitarian settings critical component of Gavi 6.0 Strategy

Gavi 🀼	Leaving no one behind v	with immunisation	SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GCALS
Mission 2030	To save lives and protect people's health by increasing equitable and sustainable use of vaccines• Under-five child mortality reduction • Future deaths averted (including disaggregated for climate-sensitive dises • Future DALYs averted	tbc     • Reduction in zero-dose children       tbc     • Children immunised       ases)     tbc       tbc     • Economic benefits unlocked	tbc tbc tbc
Principles	<ul> <li>Country-led, sustainable: Bolster country leadership to sustainably finance and deliver immunisation</li> <li>Community-owned, inclusive: Engage communities and civil society organisations in planning, implementation and oversight of immunisation</li> <li>Zero-dose and missed communities, first priority: Prioritise children missing out on vaccination, including among migrants, displaced and other vulnerable populations</li> <li>Gender-focused: Identify and address gender-related barriers to promote immunisation equity</li> <li>Differentiated, fragility-responsive: Target and tailor support to regional, national and subnational needs, including fragile, conflict and humanitarian contexts</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Integrated: Strengthen integration of immunisation and primary health care to reach missed communities in support of Universal Health Coverage</li> <li>Adaptive, resilient: Help countries leverage immunisation to address the challenges of global security, antimicrobial resistance and other major global issues</li> <li>Climate-sensitive: Support countries to adapt to the consequences of climate change and mit carbon footprint of the Alliance</li> <li>Innovative: Identify and scale up innovative products, practices and services to support Gave</li> <li>Collaborative, accountable: Accelerate purposeful partnerships with regional and global her institutions to collectively and efficiently respond to countries' needs</li> </ul>	health tigate the ⁄i's goals
Goals	1 INTRODUCE AND SCALE UP VACCINES 2 STRENGTHEN HEALTH SYSTEMS TO INCREASE EQUITY IN IMMUNISATION	3 IMPROVE PROGRAMMATIC AND FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY OF IMMUNISATION PROGRAMMES 4 VACCINES AND RELATED PROD	OR DUCTS
Objectives	<ul> <li>Strengthen countries' prioritisation and optimisation of vaccine programmes, appropriate to their context</li> <li>Support countries to introduce and scale up vaccines for prevention of endemic, epidemic and pandemic diseases including beyond infancy</li> <li>Ensure equitable and timely access to mechanisms to respond to outbreaks, epidemics, and pandemics</li> <li>Ensure equitable and pandemics</li> <li>Ensure equitable and timely access to mechanisms to respond to outbreaks, epidemics, and pandemics</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Strengthen regional, national and subnational political and social commitment to immunisation, including through increased domestic public resources</li> <li>Ensure sustainable transition through stronger capacity of eligible countries to maintain immunisation performance</li> <li>Engage self-financing countries to maintain performance and catalyse critical vaccine introductions</li> <li>Drive healthy vaccine markets for Gax supported and self-financing countries including acceleration of access to new impact, affordable vaccines and deliver innovations</li> <li>Engage self-financing countries to maintain performance and catalyse critical vaccine introductions</li> <li>Drive healthy vaccine markets for Gax supported and self-financing countries including acceleration of access to new impact, affordable vaccines and deliver innovations</li> <li>Enhance regional vaccine supply secures are support of regional manufacturing expressions</li> <li>Develop sustainable markets for vaccina gainst outbreak, epidemic, and pand prone diseases</li> </ul>	s, w high- ery urity, in vansion ines
Enablers	<ul> <li>Secure long-term predictable funding for Gavi programmes</li> <li>Deliver as one Alliance with strengthened accountability mechanisms</li> <li>Ensure global political commitment for immunisation and global health security</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Ensure simple, efficient and agile Gavi operating model</li> <li>Drive digitalisation (including artificial intelligence), improved data and evidence</li> <li>Leverage the private sector and development finance institutions, including through innovativ finance mechanisms</li> </ul>	/e

6

# Three phases to define the Alliance's Fragile and Humanitarian approach for 6.0



#### Diagnose: Identify pain points

#### May - Oct 2024

- Agree on **scope**
- Consolidate key learnings from 5.1
- Articulate key problem statements



Design: Create scenarios for shifts with varying ambition

#### Nov - Feb 2024/25

- Develop a From >> To view of strategic shifts
- Articulate how these address pain points
- Create scenarios with varying ambition and costs

Note: **Potential recalibration of 6.0 priorities** by Board post-replenishment might impact **scope & ambition of F&H approach** 



Finalise: Implementation & operationalisation of approach

March - June 2025

- Refine and review final approach
- Create a **Theory of Change** for the F&H approach
- Develop high-level
   operationalisation plan

#### **Consultations with countries, Alliance partners, Global Health and Humanitarian partners**

Governance:

October PPC: Problem Dece Statements for guidance st

December Board: Problem statements for guidance

2

**TBC**: Mid-February Board Technical Briefing Before OR after June: Board recalibration 6.0

3

May/June: Final PPC/ Board paper and presentation

# Over the past months, numerous consultations were conducted to guide the initial thinking on the F&H approach



Partner consultations

- Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF)
- International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies (IFRC)
- ✓ Save the Children (STC)
- International Rescue Committee (IRC)
- US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)
- Global Fund
- WHO Immunisation, Vaccines, and Biologicals (IVB) and Health Emergencies Programme (WHE)
- UNICEF Emergency and Immunisation
- World Bank



Country consultations

Representatives from:

- Afghanistan
- Syria
- Yemen
- Palestine
- Sudan
- Somalia
- Central African Republic (CAR)
- Chad
- Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC)
- Cameroon



- Implementing countries (Chad, Somalia, Ethiopia, Sudan)
- Alliance organisations (Gates Foundation, WHO / WHE, UNICEF Immunisation / Emergencies, international Non-Government Organisations and countrybased civil society organisations (CSOs))
- Donor countries (US, France, Nordics, UK)



### **Scope of approach includes Gavi FED-classified fragile** countries, as well as humanitarian settings – noting that these settings are not mutually exclusive

#### Chronically fragile countries (FED)

\*\*Countries with sustained breakdown of health systems due to factors including prolonged conflict, volatile political situations, macroeconomic instability, persistently low institutional capacity, and significantly higher risks and costs of engagement<sup>1</sup>

# Humanitarian settings

Note: F&H settings also include fragile Middle-Income Countries (Lebanon, oPt, Venezuela, Ukraine, Sri Lanka) and subnational fragility in e.g., High Impact countries (Nigeria, Ethiopia, DRC) <sup>66</sup>Humanitarian action is intended to save lives, alleviate suffering and maintain human dignity during and after man-made crises and disasters caused by natural hazards, as well as to prevent and strengthen preparedness for when such situations occur<sup>2 3</sup> 15 countries classified as chronically fragile per the FED<sup>1</sup> policy; largely fragile & conflict country segment

 Global Humanitarian Overview<sup>4</sup>: 360m+ people in need of humanitarian aid across 30+ countries in 2023



9 Board Meeting, 4-5 December 2024

1: Gavi's Fragility, Emergency and Displaced Populations policy; 2: Development Initiatives Global Humanitarian Assistance Report 2023; 3: Includes both acute and protracted crises in areas where NIP cannot safely immunise; 4: Global Humanitarian Overview 2023 (United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA))

# Illustration: Humanitarian settings change rapidly, and the people affected are highly mobile, in both fragile & non-fragile countries



Vulnerable People, e.g., ethnic / cultural minorities

Region

Refugees, migrants and internally displaced populations



Nomadic / pastoralist populations



25.7%

Zero-Dose Children

Separated Citizens



**Contested Border** 

Area

Illustrative – not exhaustive

**Zip** Board Meeting, 4-5 December 2024 **Gavi's 5.0 Humanitarian Partnerships** 

Under de facto control by non-state armed actors





# Situational analysis

# Worldwide, more than 360m people in need of humanitarian aid due to climate change, conflicts and other compounding factors

# of people in need of humanitarian aid increased 2.5x over last five years

Millions; 2018-2023



### Key trends driving vulnerability and humanitarian needs globally Many threats converge in the same places leading to population

Many threats converge in the same places leading to populations facing a combination of acute malnutrition, outbreaks, trauma, displacement and gender-based violence



Climate change leads to a surge in disasters Current trends indicate **1.4x more disasters** by 2030 vs. 2015



Increasing conflict, fragility and complexity Unprecedented number of 59 state-based conflicts in 2023



**Poverty reduction progress reversed by C-19** *Projected 7% of world's population in extreme poverty by 2030* 



Outbreaks are on the rise and a growing threat Gavi responded to 35 outbreaks in 2023 vs avg. 31 in 2016-19



**Rising global migration of vulnerable populations** *3x increase* from 2011-2022 in # of forcibly displaced people



Geopolitical competition fuels tensions

Threatening funding for and effectiveness of humanitarian aid



12 1: Excluding appeals related to Covid (202.2M people in 2020; 4.9M people in 2021)

Source: United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA) – Global Humanitarian Overview; OCHA's Strategic Plan; IRC 2024 Emergency Watchlist; ECHO Strategic Plan 2020-2024; USAID Strategic Framework; United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction, Global Assessment Report on Disaster Risk Reduction 2022

In fragile and humanitarian settings specific challenges impede immunisation outcomes

### **Constrained government capacity; non-state armed actors**

Many competing priorities, governments under sanctions, areas under de facto control by non-state armed actors



#### Inadequate health system financing

Limited domestic funds which can be compounded by donor cuts, e.g., due to sanctions

### Vulnerable supply chain and overall context

Few supply chains with limited cold chain equipment, vulnerable and limited reach; widespread disruption to electricity, mobile network, internet and water

#### Low capacity technical assistance (TA) & health workers Limited health workers, health leadership brain drain, variable capacity of TA providers

### Challenging service delivery, insecurity & high mistrust of authorities

Hard-to-reach populations, insecurity, limited health infrastructure and high mistrust of authorities, attacks on health care challenging availability, access and coverage of health services

### Limited information systems and data availability

Unsystematic & uncoordinated data collection leading to limited data availability & quality

# Fragile: 55.7 million under-five children live in the 15 Gaviclassified Fragile countries in Gavi 5.1

#### 55.7 million under-five children in fragile countries

2023; Fragile countries based on Gavi 5.1 Fragile, Emergencies & Displaced populations policy classification



**Fragile & conflict segment:** Afghanistan, Central African Republic, Chad, Haiti, Mali, Niger, Papua New Guinea, Somalia, South Sudan, Sudan, Syrian Arab Republic and Yemen **Core segment:** Myanmar, Cameroon, Burkina Faso

Non-fragile Other countries

Fragile

Note: F&H settings also include fragile MICs (Lebanon, oPt, Venezuela, Ukraine, Sri Lanka) and subnational fragility in e.g., High Impact countries (Nigeria, Ethiopia, DRC)

Increase in number of births

compared to 2019 (vs. 2.8%

Of zero-dose children in Gavi57

Note: Quality of WUENIC data in these settings is

living in fragile settings

low, true number likely larger

Gavi57)

~9%

27%



# **Fragile:** Fragile settings lag versus Gavi-eligible countries across all Gavi Strategic Goals



sg1 Introduce and scale up vaccines

**41%** breadth of protection versus **56%** in Gavi-eligible countries

**1.5x** more vaccines introduced on average per Gavi-eligible country versus per fragile & conflict country in Gavi 5.0/5.1



sg2 Strengthen health systems

**59%** DTP3<sup>1</sup> coverage

versus **80%** in Gavi-eligible countries

**72%** EVM<sup>2</sup> score for cold chain versus **74%** in Gavieligible countries



### **SG3** Improve sustainability

14% of cash going through government systems versus45% in Gavi-eligible countries

**34%** of paid co-financing paid by donors versus **2%** in Gavieligible countries<sup>3</sup>

#### Note: Based on the 12 countries in Gavi's Fragile & Conflict segment only due to data availability



15 1: Diphtheria tetanus toxoid and pertussis third dose (DTP3); 2. Effective Vaccine Management (EVM); 3. 2023 fragile & conflict countries paid co-financing: 66.4% through domestic resources, 2.1% through World Bank grant, 31.5% ODA grant. 2023 Gavi-eligible countries: 78.7% through domestic resources, 0.2% through World Bank grant, 2.0% through ODA grant, 19.2% through World Bank loan. Note: Humanitarian settings within chronically fragile countries are included in these data

# Humanitarian: Humanitarian settings present across all Gavi segments, majority of these settings in fragile & conflict and high impact segments

#### **360m+ people in need of humanitarian aid living across 30+ countries in 2023** 2023; Humanitarian Action 2023 (United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA))





**People in humanitarian need** in 2023 worldwide

OCHA Humanitarian Action Overview 2023



Under-18 children targeted worldwide via **humanitarian response plans** 

UNICEF Humanitarian Appeal 2023



# Humanitarian: Coverage in conflict-affected settings lagging up to 19 percentage points (pp) below other settings

Across DTP1<sup>1</sup>, DTP3<sup>2</sup> and MCV1<sup>3</sup>, coverage in conflict-affected settings across Gavi57 + MICs lagging up to 19pp below other settings across Gavi57 + MICs 2021; conflict-affected based on ACLED<sup>4</sup>; across Gavi57 + MICs



Note: Based on 2021 conflict-affected settings (defined as >30 deaths per million population) due to data availability – analysis to be refreshed following ACLED<sup>4</sup> data release. Analysis leverages district-level estimates of coverage with relatively high uncertainty.

Gavi The Vaccine Alliance

17 Source: IHME Model-Based Geostatistics for vaccine coverage estimate (2021); Armed Conflict Location & Event Data (ACLED 2021). 1: Diphtheria tetanus toxoid and pertussis first dose (DTP1); 2: Diphtheria tetanus toxoid and pertussis third dose (DTP3); 3: MCV1 = Measles-containing vaccine first dose (MCV1) 4. Armed Conflict Location Event Data and Event Data

# Gavi has been working in these settings with important learnings from FED and ZIP relevant for F&H approach in Gavi 6.0



# Early learnings from Gavi's Fragility, Emergency & Displaced Populations (FED) policy:

- **Funding** FED is Gavi's only mechanism to enable rapid, tailored support outside of government, multi-year requests; an essential tool for Gavi to tailor support and engage quickly
- Funding Lack of dedicated funding / reliance on underspent leads to limited transparency and predictability
- Funding FED funding lacks transparency and predictability
- Risk FED higher risk appetite insufficiently operationalised
- Emergency Process to activate policy is cumbersome and does not align with existing UNICEF/WHO gradings
- Speed of response Process from initial activation of policy to disbursement is cumbersome and slow
- · Displacement Limited added value of displacement as a separate category
- Operationalisation Requesting flexibilities one by one
- Limitations FED does not address some needed co-financing policy flexibilities
- Opportunity Opportunity to mainstream FED flexibilities through a broader end-to-end approach





# Across the Alliance, many partners are already playing a critical role in humanitarian settings

Humanitarian

settings



### Case study: Unicef

- One of the largest providers of humanitarian
   assistance (US\$ 5.5 billion across 412 crises in 2023)
- Core Commitments to Children in Humanitarian Action includes immunisation & HSS
- Negotiation for humanitarian access & working in areas beyond gov't control (e.g., Yemen, Haiti, Sudan)
- Coordination & collaboration with CSOs
- Procurement, supply & delivery of vaccine & biological supplies (>US\$240 million in 2023)



### Case study:

- y: RESCUE COMMITTEE
- IRC provides humanitarian aid, responding to **58 emergencies** in FY2023 (>10M health consultations)
- **Rapid response to emergencies** (e.g., Turkey earthquake, flooding in Libya)
- **Diverse programming based on needs,** including health, immunisation, WASH, protection
- Partnerships with local CSOs for implementation
- Gavi / IRC programme for immunisation in Horn of Africa (ZIP programme)

#### Note: Illustrative organisations & examples – non-exhaustive

### Case study:



WHO responded to 65 graded emergencies, targeting >102M people across 29 countries in 2023

**World Health** 

- **Providing global leadership and advocacy** (e.g., framework for immunisation in humanitarian settings, package of health services for protracted crises)
- **Coordinating a rapid response** across >100 partners
- **Procurement, supply & delivery of health supplies** (6500 metric tons delivered in 2023)

IFRC





- STC provides humanitarian aid to children (reached >105M children in 2023)
- Child-focused humanitarian responses
- Diverse programming based on children's needs, including health, vaccination and nutrition
- Collaborates with local and national partners (~10% of global portfolio funds in 2023)
- Gavi / STC collaboration across countries (e.g., Sudan)





# Questions and problem statements to be addressed

The F&H approach intersects with a variety of aspects of the Alliance partnership model, secretariat operating model and programmes & policies



**Detailed view:** The F&H approach intersects with a variety of aspects of the Alliance partnerships model, secretariat operating model and programmes & policies



PEF: Partners' Engagement Framework; ZIP: Zero-dose immunisation programme / Gavi's 5.1 Humanitarian Partnerships; FED policy: Fragility, Emergency & Displaced Populations policy; MICs: Middle-Income Countries; FPR: funding policy review; HSIS policy: Health system and immunisation strengthening policy; ELTRACO: Eligibility and Transition Policy and Co-Financing policy; FRF: First response Fund; HS Strategy; Health Systems Strategy; SG: Strategic goal

### A number of problem statements have been identified across these intersections, to be addressed as part of the F&H approach

Implementation of Gavi's higher risk appetite has been identified as a key barrier across all key areas





partners)

# Lack of articulation and alignment on Gavi's goals & objectives and level of ambition in F&H settings, given unique challenges

SG1 Introduce & SG1 scale up vaccines	•	In F&H settings, how to approach vaccine prioritisation including balancing between new introductions versus improving coverage of existing vaccines? What is the level of ambition with regards supporting or not, targeted non- Gavi supported 'traditional' vaccines where financing is challenged?
SG2 SG2 Systems	•	Should we differentiate our ambition for reducing zero-dose children in F&H settings? To what extent should the Alliance provide support for reaching (e.g., building immunisation touchpoints) children beyond infancy in F&H settings?
SG3 Improve sustainability	•	How is financial and programmatic sustainability in F&H settings defined? <sup>1</sup> What adjustments should Gavi adopt to co-financing requirements? <sup>2</sup>
Cross-cutting		What is the approach to integrating / bundling immunisation with other humanitarian interventions (e.g., child health/nutrition) and leveraging innovative approaches? How should Health Systems interventions, and levels of ambition across strategic priorities (above) be differentiated in <i>Fragile</i> <sup>1</sup> & Humanitarian settings (incl. MICs and sub-national contexts)?

Gavi's level of ambition has implications for how Gavi works and the costs

1. Addressed in Gavi 6.0 Health System Strategy; 2. Addressed in Funding Policy Review's shifts to Gavi's Eligibility, Transition and Co-financing policies

# To deliver on Gavi's ambition in F&H settings, challenges across Gavi's model need to be addressed: Policies & programmes

	Vaccines	<ul> <li>Insufficient mechanisms for humanitarian actors to access vaccines for outbreaks and areas where NIP cannot safely immunise (incl. advocacy for access to vaccines in F&amp;H settings) (A)</li> </ul>
	Cash	<ul> <li>Cash funding mechanisms insufficiently tailored to complexity of Fragile<sup>1</sup> &amp; Humanitarian settings</li> </ul>
mes	PEF	• Capacity of partners to deliver technical assistance is variable in F&H settings <sup>2</sup>
Policies & programm	FED	<ul> <li>Risk of impeded response due to reliance of FED funding largely on savings from Gavi cash envelopes</li> <li>Inadequately operationalised (e.g. risk appetite, flexibilities, cumbersome policy activation)</li> </ul>
	ELTRACO / FPR	<ul> <li>Co-financing waiver process not fit-for-purpose for humanitarian, and inconsistent for fragile, settings<sup>3</sup></li> </ul>
	Cross- cutting	<ul> <li>Unharmonised policies &amp; programmes with unwarranted variation in e.g. funding scope and governance</li> <li>Immunisation of children beyond infancy and older children at times restricted by national guidelines</li> </ul>

<sup>25</sup> 1. Addressed in Gavi 6.0 Health System Strategy and in Funding Policy Review's shifts to Gavi's Eligibility, Transition and Co-financing policies 2. Feeds into Gavi 6.0 Partnerships workstream 3. Addressed in Funding Policy Review's shifts to Gavi's Eligibility, Transition and Co-financing policies

### To deliver on Gavi's ambition in F&H settings, challenges across Gavi's model need to be addressed: Secretariat operating model

Secretariat HR

Secretariat approach is complex and not geared towards specificities of *fragile<sup>1</sup>* & humanitarian settings including lack of a tailored approach to reporting & monitoring

• **Possible lack of sufficient capacity (Fragile & Humanitarian) & expertise (Humanitarian)** to best support programming<sup>2</sup>

# To deliver on Gavi's ambition in F&H settings, challenges across Gavi's model need to be addressed: Partnerships model<sup>1</sup>

Partnership model (countries & technical partners)	Government	<ul> <li>The Alliance's reliance on government as entry point can limit the Alliance's effectiveness in F&amp;H settings, engagement with armed non-state actors critical to ensure access to humanitarian settings</li> </ul>
	Technical partners	<ul> <li>Collaboration with context-appropriate partners with the trust of the local communities is not sufficiently institutionalised</li> <li>Variable coordination between immunisation programmes &amp; humanitarian response: <ul> <li>Variable coordination between the Immunisation and Emergency departments within Core Partners</li> <li>Limited coordination between the Alliance and humanitarian (UN, iNGOs, CSOs) partners</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Insufficient use of private provider networks to enhance immunisation outcomes</li> </ul>

# **Deep dive:** Insufficient mechanisms for humanitarian actors to access vaccines for areas where NIP cannot safely immunise



### **Problem statement context**

There are areas where the national immunisation programme (NIP) cannot safely and effectively immunise, leading to consistently missed areas / populations:

- E.g., areas with insecurity / banditry or controlled by a non-state armed actors
- E.g., populations not prioritised in government planning due to e.g. sudden influx of refugees

For these areas / populations, vaccine access is limited, and insufficient mechanisms for non-government actors to access vaccines:

- Ad hoc, cumbersome implementation of FED provision for additional vaccines for acute emergencies / displaced populations, each request requires lengthy approval process
- Limited advocacy with government to ensure vaccine access for all populations, potentially through provision of country doses and cold chain to/via humanitarian actors
- Lack of mechanism to provide funding for procurement of vaccines for humanitarian actors
- Limited opportunity for humanitarian actors to procure reduced-price doses (WHO humanitarian mechanism limited to PCV and rotavirus vaccines)

Any mechanism not through gov't associated with unclear governance & issues around risk

- Usually government assumes all risk (e.g., indemnity & liability, insurance for logistics)
- Unclear who can/will take on risk (manufacturer, humanitarian actor, UNICEF, Gavi?) if delivery outside of gov't – direct delivery not in scope of existing MoUs with SD



#### Case study: ZIP

- Hard-to-reach, insecure region in Horn of Africa
- Only three humanitarian partners operating, prolonged disruption of health services
- Limited antigens and doses on the ground
- Via ZIP Gavi funding for vaccines made available separate from country allocations
- Gavi + UNICEF SD took on high-risk allocation directly to NGO



### **Potential shifts**

Institutionalise a role for Gavi expected to

advocate for immunisation service delivery for all populations, potentially by providing access, doses & cold chain to humanitarian actors

Reconsider allocation mechanism for vaccines<sup>2</sup> to non-government / non-UN partners

Advocate for reducedprice doses for humanitarian actors: e.g., advocate for Humanitarian Mechanism WHO, UNICEF, MSF, STC

1. Mostly relevant to MSF; other partners may have limited funds for procurement, with donors often restricting use of funds for vaccine procurement because that should already be funded through Gavi; 2. Incl. potential delivery innovations like micro-array patches (MAPs)

# Questions for guidance

The Board is requested to **provide <u>guidance</u>**on:

Gavi 6.0 approach to Fragile and Humanitarian settings: The emerging direction as described in this document, including the problem statements