## The Evaluation Advisory Committee (EAC) Report

Quality assessment of the evaluation

Name of evaluation: Mid-Term Evaluation of Gavi's 2021-2025 Strategy

Year of report: 2024

a) The Evaluation Advisory Committee (EAC) rated this report as;
Fully met or exceeded Gavi quality standards
Met Gavi quality standards with only minor shortcomings
Partially met Gavi quality standards with some shortcomings
Did not meet Gavi quality standards with major shortcomings

## b) General comments:

## Strengths

The EAC scored the report as meeting its quality standards. The report offers a thorough snapshot of GAVI mid-5.0/5.1 strategy. It is clear, logically structured, and easy to read despite the complexity of the evaluation. There have been efforts to engage many stakeholders to contribute to this evaluation. The EAC emphasizes the need to follow-up on the use of the findings, lessons learned and recommendations by the Gavi Alliance Board members, Gavi Secretariat, Alliance partners, and country-level stakeholders.

## Other observations

The EAC wishes to highlight important points that could have improved the evaluation. The report would have benefitted from increasing the number and diversity of country-level key informants, as they were underrepresented in the sample compared to global-level key informants, as well as including a more explicit weighting of the alternative views form different informants. This would have allowed for better representation of country-level perspectives, as well as addressing the concern of overreliance on internal informants. A clear line of sight between the evaluation questions, collected data, analytic methods, results, and conclusions, as well as more emphasis on the value-add of this evaluation, would have further enhanced it. Moreover, the report would have benefited from a broader and more detailed discussion on limitations, such as the reliance on existing data, and on measures taken to ensure the reliability and validity of the data collection tools.