ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLES OF USE OF EVALUATION FINDINGS

Evaluation findings from:

- Health System Strengthening (HSS) grant evaluations
- HSS meta-review
- End of Gavi support evaluations
- Full Country Evaluations
- Co-financing policy evaluation
- Advanced Market Commitment (AMC) process and design and outcomes and impact evaluations

Used to inform:

Country:
- Decisions to apply for new Gavi support
- Design and preparation of new proposals
- Programme implementation and course correction
- Country processes (such as the Joint Appraisal or other review processes)

Cross-cutting:
- Review of Gavi guidelines
- Review of Gavi policies, frameworks and programmes:
  - Gavi HPV vaccine support
  - Health System and Immunization Strengthening framework and Country Engagement Framework
  - Supply and Procurement Strategy 2016-2020
  - Partners’ Engagement Framework
  - Gavi’s Strategic Focus Areas (e.i. Data, Sustainability, Leadership, Management and Coordination)
## EVALUATION PRINCIPLES FOR 2016-20 PERIOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strengthening dissemination and utilisation of evaluation results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prioritising prospective evaluations whenever relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing focus on measuring outcomes and impact of Gavi investments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prioritising evaluation of new policies, programmes and processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>implemented during 2016-2020 Strategy period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinforcing country engagement and ownership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploring evaluation synergies with other global health institutions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EVALUATION OF THE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROVIDED THROUGH THE PARTNERS’ ENGAGEMENT FRAMEWORK

Core phase ongoing
Objectives:

1. Understand the extent to which the new TCA model has incorporated the principles of country ownership, transparency, and accountability
2. Assess in what ways the new model has shaped the delivery of TA and improved its effectiveness
3. Examine the contributions of the TCA to the larger national immunisation programme
4. Identify the internal and external factors that affect TA delivery and outcomes

Evaluation of the TCA component of PEF in Tier 1 & Tier 2 countries

Evaluation of Technical Assistance (TA) Provided through the Partners’ Engagement Framework (PEF)

Domain 1: TCA Planning Assessment
- Evaluation Question 1: How effective and efficient is the TCA planning process?

Domain 2: TA Delivery Assessment
- Evaluation Question 2: Which TA models are most effective and efficient, and why?

Domain 3: Outcome Assessment
- Evaluation Question 3: To what extent does TA contribute to improvements in the national immunization programme?
SNAPSHOT OF TA EVALUATION APPROACH

Deloitte will conduct this evaluation, with oversight provided by a Steering Committee that includes members of the Gavi partners, donors, independent experts.

Mixed methods approach

- 360° Online Survey
- In-depth Interviews
- Country visits Observations of JA
- After Action Reviews
- Desk Reviews

All Tier 1 & Tier 2 countries

Tier 1 countries

4 case study Tier 1 countries (DRC, Nigeria, Afghanistan, Ethiopia)

Board meeting
7-8 December 2016
TIMELINE AND USE OF FINDINGS

• **3 periodic assessments:**
  • Baseline report expected by end February 2017
  • Mid term report: Q2 2018
  • End term report: Q1 2020
• **360° online survey:**
  • First survey launched in December 2016
  • Summary reports will be shared at country and global levels
• **Preliminary findings will be shared in a timely manner to relevant groups, such as:**
  • PEF management team
  • Regional working groups
FULL COUNTRY EVALUATIONS

First phase: 2013-2016
Second phase: from 2017
FCE 2013-16: WHAT HAS BEEN THE ADDED-VALUE?

Knowledge

- In-depth understanding about design and implementation of Gavi streams of support in 4 countries
  → Fostered cross-country learning and generalisability
- Lessons learnt of vaccine introduction and routinization, MR campaigns and impact (PCV effectiveness studies)
  → Informed Gavi guidelines, country decisions to apply and design new Gavi support and improve implementation
- Rich documentation about 4 HPV case-studies
  → Informed the review of Gavi HPV vaccine support
- Lessons learnt of HSS grants (process: Uganda, Mozambique, Zambia, results: Bangladesh)
  → Informed the implementation of HSS grants and the design of the HSIS framework
- Better understanding about how Gavi-funded technical assistance and the partnership dynamics
  → Informed the design of the Partners’ Engagement Framework

Investment

- High quality reports
  → Generated Global Health learning
- Prospective approach: real time decisions at country level
  → Moving towards evidence-based culture
- Platform to track implementation of new Gavi policies / processes (from country lens)
  → Allowed course corrections
- FCE consortium provided capacity building at country level
  → Increased maturity of in-country FCE teams
FCE SECOND PHASE: EAC DECISIONS

• Assessed the proposed **principles** and agreed on a **two year continuation of the FCE project** with targeted approach by country for Mozambique, Zambia and Uganda
• Agreed that **collaboration with the Global Fund** should be explored
• Requested the **Secretariat to finalise the draft Terms of Reference (ToR)** for the second phase of the FCE project and **bring them back to the EAC for approval**
• Following the approval the **EAC will consider options in relation to the contractual arrangements** going forward
Thank you