Gavi Alliance Board Meeting  
26-27 June 2019  
Global Health Campus, Geneva, Switzerland

1. **Chair’s Report**

1.1 Finding a quorum of members present, the meeting commenced at 08.53 local time on 26 June 2019. Dr Ngozi Okonjo-Iweala, Board Chair, chaired the meeting.

1.2 The Chair welcomed new Board members and Alternate Board members, as well as Rob Moodie, Chair of the Evaluation Advisory Committee, Cyrus Ardalan, Chair of the International Finance Facility for Immunisation (IFFIm) and other directors of the IFFIm Board. She noted that departing members would be recognised for their service at the dinner that evening.

1.3 The Board met in closed session during the afternoon of 25 June to discuss a number of items including the CEO’s 2019 mid-year performance review, vaccine supply related challenges, some country audit issues, as well as an initial discussion on what Gavi support to Middle Income Countries (MICs) might look like in the 2021-2025 strategic period.

1.4 Standing declarations of interest were tabled to the Board (Doc 01a in the Board pack). The Chair noted that in the context of the items for decision on the agenda, there were some Board members who would have conflicts of interest and that these would be raised at the appropriate time and recorded in the applicable section of the minutes.

1.5 The Board noted its minutes from 28-29 November 2018 (Doc 01b) which were approved by no objection on 27 February 2019. The Board also noted decisions approved by them by no-objection consent since the last meeting (Doc 01c), namely, appointments to the Board and Board Committees.

1.6 The Chair referred to the consent agenda (Doc 01d) where 11 recommendations were being presented to the Board for consideration. No requests had been received to place any of the consent agenda items on the main agenda. The decisions would be presented at the end of the meeting during the Review of Decisions.

1.7 The Board also noted its action sheet (Doc 01e) and workplan (Doc 01f) and the Chair encouraged Board members to contribute to the forward plan by raising issues which they may wish to add either with her directly or with the Board Secretary. She noted that the style of presentation of the workplan is more detailed and that it extends out to 2025 which should enable the Board to have a longer-term view of work going forward.
1.8 The Chair noted that Board members had provided important feedback on the draft agenda for this meeting and that based on that feedback the timing of the sessions related to the Gavi 2021-2025 Strategy (Gavi 5.0) has been extended. She expressed the hope that this would enable the Board to come to a decision on the strategy so that the Secretariat can move forward to prepare for the launch of the investment case in Japan at the end of August. She acknowledged the work of the Secretariat over the past months preparing for the important deliberations at this meeting.

1.9 Finally, she reported to the Board on a number of events she had attended since the last Board meeting, noting that it has become clear that there are several replenishments going on over the next period and that this was creating an environment which was somewhat competitive so extra efforts need to be made to ensure that it is clear what Gavi plans to do going forward, what is going to be different and what would be the core values for Gavi’s work. She also noted that while there remains a great deal of uncertainty on the macro-economic and geopolitical fronts in the world with a slowdown being forecast, she still sees remarkable support for Gavi and that it is important that Gavi continues to stand out in a way that would merit this support. She referred to events she had attended at the World Economic Forum in Davos, Switzerland, at the African Leadership Meeting on Investment in Health in Ethiopia, and during the Spring meetings of the World Bank and International Monetary Fund (IMF). She also referred to visits she had carried out on behalf of Gavi to India, Saudi Arabia, Kuwait and Japan.

1.10 To close this session, a short film on Gavi’s Mid-Term Review which had taken place in December 2018 in Abu Dhabi was shared with the Board.

Decision 1

In line with the process for the appointment of the Board Chair endorsed by the Board in June 2017, as read with Section 4.B of the Governance Committee Charter, the Gavi Alliance Board:

a) Delegated to the Governance Committee the authority to set up and oversee the process for the recruitment of a Board Chair to succeed Dr Ngozi Okonjo-Iweala at the end of her term on 31 December 2020; and

b) Delegated to the Governance Committee the authority to establish a Search Committee for this purpose.

Decision 2

The Gavi Alliance Board:

a) Reappointed Nina Schwalbe as a member of the Evaluation Advisory Committee effective 1 January 2020 through 30 June 2021.

b) Appointed Nina Schwalbe as Chair of the Evaluation Advisory Committee effective 1 July 2019 through 30 June 2021.
Decision 3

The Gavi Alliance Board:

a) **Appointed** the following Board Members:

- Ferozuddin Feroz of Afghanistan as Board member representing the developing country constituency in the seat currently held by Suad Youusif Abdallah Alkarib of Sudan, effective immediately and until 31 December 2020.

- Arsen Torosyan of Armenia as Board member representing the developing country constituency in the seat currently held by Sergey Khachatryan of Armenia, effective immediately and until 31 December 2021.

- Peter Salama as Board Member representing WHO in the seat currently held by Soumya Swaminathan, effective immediately and until his successor is appointed.

- Craig Burgess as Board Member representing the civil society organisations constituency in the seat currently held by Clarisse Loe Loumou, effective 1 July 2019 and until 30 June 2021.

- Irene Koek as Board Member representing the United States on the donor constituency anchored by the United States in the seat currently held by Sarah Goulding of Australia, effective 1 July 2019 and until 30 June 2021.

- Muhammad Pate as Board Member representing the World Bank in the seat currently held by Tim Evans, effective 1 July 2019 and until his successor is appointed.

b) **Amended the appointment** of the following Board member:

- Afsaneh Beschloss as an Unaffiliated Board Member in the seat formerly held by Yifei Li, effective 1 January 2020 and until 31 December 2022.

c) **Appointed** the following Alternate Board Members:

- Asaad Hafeez of Pakistan as Alternate Board member representing the developing country constituency in the seat currently held by Aamer Mehmood Kiani of Pakistan, effective immediately and until 31 December 2020.

- Maty Dia as Alternate Board Member representing the civil society organisations constituency in the seat currently held by Craig Burgess, effective 1 July 2019 and until 30 June 2021.

- Sarah Goulding as Alternate Board Member representing Australia on the donor constituency anchored by the United States in the seat currently held by Irene Koek of the United States, effective 1 July 2019 and until 30 June 2021.

- Etleva Kadili as Alternate Board Member representing UNICEF in the seat currently held by Ted Chaiban, effective 1 October 2019 and until her successor is appointed.
d) **Appointed** the following to the Market-Sensitive Decisions Committee effective immediately:

   - **Amir Aman Hagos** (Board Member) until 31 December 2019.
   - **Asaad Hafeez** (Alternate Board Member) until 31 December 2019.

e) **Appointed** the following to the Market-Sensitive Decisions Committee effective 1 July 2019:

   - **Craig Burgess** (Board Member) until 31 December 2019.

f) **Appointed** the following to the Market-Sensitive Decisions Committee effective 1 October 2019:

   - **Etleva Kadilli** (Alternate Board Member) until 31 December 2019.

g) **Reappointed** the following to the Market-Sensitive Decisions Committee effective 1 July 2019:

   - **Irene Koek** (Board Member) until 31 December 2019.

h) **Appointed** the following to the Governance Committee effective 1 July 2019:

   - **Craig Burgess** (Board Member) until 31 December 2019.
   - **Susan Silbermann** (Board Member) until 31 December 2019.

i) **Appointed** the following to the Audit and Finance Committee effective 1 July 2019:

   - **Maty Dia** (Alternate Board Member) until 31 December 2019.

j) **Reappointed** the following to the Audit and Finance Committee effective 1 July 2019:

   - **Irene Koek** (Board Member) until 31 December 2019.

k) **Appointed** the following to the Evaluation Advisory Committee effective 1 July 2019:

   - **Maty Dia** (Alternate Board Member) until 31 December 2019.
   - **Marta Nunes** (Board Member) until 31 December 2019.
   - **Ezzedine Mohsni** (Independent Expert) until 31 December 2019.
Decision 4

The Gavi Alliance Board:

**Appointed** Assietou Diouf as Treasurer with individual signatory authority, effective immediately and until her successor is appointed and qualified.

Decision 5

The Gavi Alliance Board:

**Approved** the updated and revised Evaluation Advisory Committee Terms of Reference as set out in Annex A to Doc 01d, effective from 1 July 2019.

Decision 6

The Gavi Alliance Board:

a) **Approved** the Gavi Alliance Appeals Tribunal Statute and Rules of Procedure set out at Annex B to Doc 01d;

b) **Reappointed** Claude Rouiller as a member of the Gavi Appeals Tribunal effective immediately for a term of five years;

c) **Reappointed** Anne Trebilcock as a member of the Gavi Appeals Tribunal effective immediately for a term of three years;

d) **Appointed** Chris de Cooker as a member of the Gavi Appeals Tribunal effective immediately for a term of five years;

e) **Appointed** Jenny Schokkenbroek as a member of the Gavi Appeals Tribunal effective immediately for a term of five years; and

f) **Appointed** Michael Wolf as a member of the Gavi Appeals Tribunal effective immediately for a term of five years.

Decision 7

The Gavi Alliance Board:

**Approved** the revised Audit and Investigations Terms of Reference as set out in Annex C to Doc 01d.
Decision 8

The Gavi Alliance Board:

Approved amendments to the Currency Hedging Policy as set out in Annex D to Doc 01d.

Decision 9

The Gavi Alliance Board:

Approved the revised and updated Gavi Evaluation Policy as set out in Annex E to Doc 01d, effective from 1 July 2019.

Decision 10

The Gavi Alliance Board:

Approved the use of unallocated or underspent funds under the Cold Chain Equipment Optimisation Platform to support a learning agenda to evaluate innovative cold chain equipment technologies and approaches that the Alliance may choose to scale in the next strategic period.

Decision 11

The Gavi Alliance Board:

a) Approved, within the overall Partners Engagement Framework:

i. A budget of US$ 19.0 million for UNICEF Supply Division fees for the procurement of vaccines and related devices in 2020, and

ii. A budget of US$ 4.3 million for UNICEF Supply Division fees for the procurement of cold chain equipment for 2020.

iii. That the budgetary amounts approved by the Board in the Board Meeting of 29-30 November 2017, for each component of the Gavi Engagement Framework and Budget for Partners and Secretariat for 2018 and separately for 2019, be now treated as an overall Budget amount which may be utilised over both years.

iv. That capital expenditure of the Secretariat in excess of the capital expenditure budget can be incurred within the overall amount of the Secretariat Engagement Framework and Capital Expenditure budgets for both years.

b) Noted that the Audit and Finance Committee reviewed the financial implications of the recommendations as set out in Figure 1 in Doc 2a (AFC, 25 April 2019) and concluded that these could be approved by the Board in accordance with the
Programme Funding Policy, if so recommended by the Programme and Policy Committee.

2. CEO’s Report

2.1 Seth Berkley, CEO, started his presentation by presenting an overview of global developments and trends, highlighting the road to Gavi’s replenishment in 2020 in the context of the numerous replenishments of other organisations which will be taking place in the intervening period.

2.2 He highlighted Gavi’s engagement at the recent G7 Health Ministers meeting in Paris, France, provided details relating to a number of ongoing collaborations with the 12 partner organisations who have signed up to the Global Action Plan for healthy lives and well-being for all and referred to the inaugural WHO Partners Forum which he had attended in Stockholm, Sweden, in April.

2.3 Dr Berkley referenced a recent report launched by the UN Secretary General on antimicrobial resistance (AMR) and provided examples to demonstrate the growing health impact of climate change.

2.4 He reported to the Board on ongoing Gavi programmes, namely related to oral cholera vaccine (OCV) and Gavi’s contribution to the work being done to contain the Ebola outbreak in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC). He highlighted concerns related to the global resurgence of measles as well as to increased vaccine hesitancy worldwide.

2.5 Dr Berkley provided an update on the polio eradication status, highlighting the growing collaboration between Gavi and the Global Polio Eradication Initiative (GPEI) to strengthen routine immunisation and confirmed that he now sits on the Polio Oversight Board.

2.6 He provided information to the Board in relation to the initial roll-out of typhoid conjugate vaccine (TCV) with Gavi support, as well as initial data from the large-scale TCV efficacy trial. He also referred to the recent launch of the first pilots of RTS,S malaria vaccine and recalled that the Board would be asked to consider a decision on funding for the second phase at its meeting in December 2019. He flagged concerns relating to human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine supply constraints which continue to delay vaccine scale-up.

2.7 Dr Berkley reported briefly on ongoing work in Nigeria, Syria and Sudan and provided an overview on vaccine introductions in 2019, highlighting that all 73 Gavi-supported countries have now introduced Inactivated Poliovirus (IPV) vaccine. On coverage and equity, he indicated that while Gavi is reaching more children, it is still a struggle to reach the zero-dose children.

2.8 He highlighted that health systems strengthening (HSS) disbursements are scaling up and provided examples from the Central African Republic and Pakistan to demonstrate the impact of HSS support in fragile contexts.
Referencing the important discussions to take place during this meeting on the 2021-2025 Strategy, Dr Berkley highlighted what would be some of the key shifts between Gavi 4.0 and Gavi 5.0 if the 5.0 strategy one-pager is approved. He also referred briefly to possible funding scenarios for the next strategic period and highlighted the importance of numerous evaluations which have been used to inform the Gavi 5.0 strategy.

He provided a brief Alliance update, mentioning some recent IFFIm (International Finance Facility for Immunisation) transactions, referring to a number of recent changes in partner leadership and to the imminent retirement of Hind Khatib-Othman, Managing Director, Country Programmes and Barry Greene, Managing Director, Finance and Operations.

Finally, he referred to the ongoing work to update Secretariat systems with the roll-out of a new ERP (enterprise resource planning) system expected on 1 October 2019 and to the items that were being presented to the Board at this meeting for consideration.

Discussion

- Board members expressed their gratitude to the two outgoing Managing Directors for their dedication and their valuable contributions to the organisation as they both prepare to retire.

- Board members commended the work being done across the Alliance in relation to the Ebola outbreak in DRC. They noted that in this context, with a continuous circulation of wild polio virus in communities, the importance of community engagement and integrated approaches has been highlighted and should continue to be strengthened in Gavi 5.0.

- Board members welcomed the collaborative nature of the work being done in the context of the Global Action Plan for healthy lives and well-being for all and it was suggested that it will now be important to ensure that the work moves to the country level and engages Ministries of Health to develop sector wide plans for all to support.

- It was also suggested that with the new strategy including a focus on zero-dose children, a new approach will be required by the Alliance partners and therefore it would be useful to ensure that accountability for results is further strengthened through effective monitoring under the Partners' Engagement Framework (PEF).

- Board members expressed concerns in relation to vaccine supply shortages and it was suggested that the Alliance focusses on both supply bottlenecks and policies impacting demand, for instance the surge in global demand for HPV on account of global technical guidance which is not focusing on areas of highest disease incidence creating shortages of supply in Gavi supported countries.

- Board members appreciated Gavi’s engagement in relation to gender-related barriers and Gavi’s continued leadership in this area and emphasised the high importance of continued innovations in pro-gender programming.
In the context of a discussion on the resurgence of measles, it was noted that this can also be because of weak underlying systems. Therefore, the importance of strengthening routine immunisation and finding the right balance between routine immunisation, campaigns and outbreak response will be critical, the aim being to focus on low coverage communities and progressively reducing dependence on campaigns/outbreak response.

Board members were encouraged by the progress reported on in Nigeria.

In relation to HSS support it was suggested that Gavi needs to consider how to make HSS support even more strategic, moving away from quick fixes towards sustainable solutions and extension of services to populations suffering from health disparities.

Board members noted the breadth of evaluations which have been carried out to ensure that Gavi stays a learning organisation.

In relation to a question on the response of social media companies to the CEO’s outreach addressing vaccine hesitancy and misinformation, he confirmed that they have not been particularly responsive so far. He noted that there is also outreach from individual countries and highlighted the importance of continuing to build pressure for action.

3. 2016-2020 Strategy: Progress, Challenges and Risks

3.1 Anuradha Gupta, Deputy CEO, presented a report to the Board on progress in implementing the 2016-2020 Strategy (Doc 03). The report included a summary of the progress, challenges and associated risks in achieving the 2016-2020 Strategy, including a holistic view across the Alliance’s portfolio of support to countries including vaccine programmes, Health System and Immunisation Strengthening (HSIS) support and technical support provided by partners under the Partners’ Engagement Framework (PEF).

3.2 As WHO-UNICEF coverage estimates (WUENIC) for 2018 will only be released in July, her presentation provided a quantitative update on a limited number of strategy indicators for which new data had become available and in addition a more qualitative perspective of progress across the four Strategic Goals.

Discussion

Board members appreciated the transparent update on progress and challenges on coverage and equity in the current strategic period and noted that it will be important to roll lessons from this experience into the next strategic period. They welcomed early indication of progress on equity. Board members were also encouraged by the increased emphasis on gender, sub-national approaches, data quality, and collaboration with the Global Polio Eradication Initiative (GPEI).
The report to the Board had reviewed the Alliance’s Key Performance Indicators (KPIs), flagging those that were behind target. One of the KPIs is time taken to disburse funds, which Board members encouraged the Secretariat to review, redesign, simplify, and accelerate. Ms Gupta confirmed that as part of the Gavi 5.0 operationalisation, a rigorous review of portfolio management processes will be undertaken to simplify and redesign the processes to increase efficiency and effectiveness.

Several Board members stressed the issue of redesigning and simplifying the operating model for Gavi 5.0, to achieve further efficiencies. Another issue was the risk appetite within fragile contexts within the current strategic period and how Gavi will address this in the next period. Several members supported the proposal of creating a task team, together with the Secretariat, to review the operational model in place for fragile settings.

Several Board members raised the role of campaigns versus routine immunisation (RI) as an area that requires further consideration. It was suggested that Gavi’s learning agenda focus on how to improve both routine immunisation and quality of campaigns to make sure countries have to depend less and less on campaigns. Both routine immunisation and campaigns are currently not reaching zero dose children going forward, new thinking is required and should be presented by partners as recommended by the Programme and Policy Committee (PPC). Considerations of programmatic and financial sustainability should also be kept in view including how countries could co-share the costs of campaigns and outbreak response.

Board members also remarked that the increase in levels of co-financing has been a particular success for Gavi, and that this also has been important in terms of building political will for immunisation as a preventive intervention and best buy in public health. It was suggested that it could be useful to look at some countries where co-financing might still be provided by donors or other partners.

Several Board members also touched on recent supply challenges, particularly with regard to HPV and recommended that Gavi should act in an accelerated fashion to work with manufacturers and the Strategic Group of Experts (SAGE) on Immunization for a prioritisation framework which clearly sets out the countries and age cohorts to be prioritised from a public health impact perspective. Board members also queried the demand forecasting process and asked about what type of accountability mechanism is in place for manufacturers to follow up on commitments. One Board member expressed concern that a shortage of supply can also impact trust in the system at the delivery point. Board members representing both WHO and the vaccine manufacturers assured that they would examine the issue of HPV vaccine shortages in Gavi countries and come back with a proposed way forward.

Several Board members expressed concern about vaccine hesitancy and questioned whether Gavi was prepared to engage in a meaningful way if there was a surge in a Gavi-eligible country. Others raised broader demand-related queries, including encouraging Gavi to engage more with community-based partnerships.
One country representative provided a personal perspective from his country’s experience and encouraged Gavi to continue its advocacy efforts and leadership engagement, as this has been a powerful tool for unlocking domestic resources and leadership. He also encouraged Gavi to consider how it makes partners at the country level more accountable to countries, a view that others seconded.

4. Replenishment 2020

4.1 For this agenda item, the Gavi Board welcomed two guests to address the Board regarding the upcoming Gavi replenishment process: Masashi Nakagome, Minister at the Permanent Mission of Japan in Geneva, and Richard Clarke, Director-General for Policy, Research and Humanitarian, Department of International Development (DFID) of the United Kingdom.

4.2 Mr Nakagome confirmed that the replenishment process will begin at a high-level event hosted by the Japanese government on the occasion of TICAD 7 in Yokohama in August 2019, where Gavi will launch its investment opportunity for the next period. An invitation to Board members will be forthcoming.

4.3 Mr Clarke spoke about challenges, approach and priorities related to the pledging event that will take place in London in the summer of 2020. He identified six main thematic challenges that he thought should be prioritised, including: (i) Conflict and fragility; (ii) Urban settings/displacement; (iii) Climate change; (iv) Equity; (v) Vaccine hesitancy; and (vi) Strengthening resilience of health security (e.g. Ebola).

4.4 He also set out three priorities for DFID as it plans for replenishment: (i) Commitment. Gavi is an entity with cross-party public support and as an example of Global Britain. There is high commitment to taking this forward; (ii) Prioritisation. Gavi as an alliance needs to start talking about trade-offs and how to prioritise, and to be bold and calm in embracing them; and (iii) Importance of global health collaboration and the need to link this to Gavi’s strategy.

Discussion

- Board members expressed their appreciation to Mr Nakagome and Mr Clarke for their remarks and for their countries’ leadership and commitment.

- Board members indicated that they felt encouraged by the two presentations and followed-up with questions about how the two countries plan to inspire potential donors that seem less enthusiastic about taking part.

- The guests indicated that this is an advocacy opportunity and it will be important to make taxpayers aware of the opportunity, to clearly articulate the expected outcomes of the replenishment, address the risk that new political administrations may want to invest in something new rather than Gavi again, and make clear the value of the investment as opposed to other options.
5. **Road to Replenishment**

5.1 Marie-Ange Saraka-Yao, Managing Director, Resource Mobilisation, Private Sector Partnerships and Innovative Finance, presented a for-information update on the roadmap to replenishment 2020 (Doc 05).

5.2 Her presentation highlighted the status of the organisation’s resource mobilisation efforts needed to close the 2016-2020 period.

**Discussion**

- Board members asked how they, and their networks, could help contribute to the process, and made suggestions for potential innovative approaches and new partners. A Board member advised that Gavi’s resource mobilisation communication should be clear about the role that innovative financing mechanisms, including IFFIm, will play in the replenishment.

- Board members requested that a link be clearly made between the investment case and strategy.

- Board members also made suggestions around additional elements to include or strengthen in the argument, such as reaching zero-dose children, driving prices down, working across sectors, mobilising more domestic resources over the strategic period, the contribution of the private sector, and the additional costs of reaching the unreached in light of its importance from an equity point of view.

- One Board member questioned whether it was too ambitious for Gavi to schedule a Board meeting at the same time as the pledging event.

- It was suggested that if Gavi is hoping to bring on board more philanthropists, it will be important to demonstrate to them that their funding will be used to improve efficiency in countries, and as such, it was noted that developing country ministers have an important role to play.

- It was noted that the WHA and its resolutions could potentially be used as a strategic platform to make sure countries meet commitments.

- It was also suggested that Gavi carefully tailor its pitch to potential donors depending on their known interests (e.g. highlighting Gavi’s linkages to areas such as climate change, antimicrobial resistance, Ebola, or refugees.

6. **Gavi 5.0: The Alliance’s 2021-2025 Strategy**

6.1 The Chair introduced this item, commending the direct engagement of the Board that demonstrates their commitment to the process.

6.2 She highlighted the importance, in light of the work done to date and the work left to do going forward, of the Board approving at this meeting the high-level strategy
framework with Gavi’s 2021-2025 vision, mission, principles and strategic goals, aligned with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), so as to enable operationalisation of the strategy which will require several touch points with the Board over the next 18 months.

6.3 She highlighted that the new strategy will focus on equity as an organising principle, prioritisation of new vaccines at the country level, gender, demand, innovation and sustainability.

6.4 Finally, she provided reassurance that approval of the strategy one-pager is not a decision on expenditure, and indicated that a proposal had been tabled to include some decision language to clarify Gavi’s potential engagement with Middle Income Countries (MICs) going forward.

6.5 Adrien de Chaisemartin, Director, Strategy, Funding and Performance, recalled the strategy development process which had taken place over the past 15 months. He highlighted that the next strategic period will be critical for the Alliance’s new 2030 aspiration. Mr de Chaisemartin presented the nine principles of the new strategy as well as the four strategic goals.

6.6 Seth Berkley, CEO, then presented the proposed additional new decision language relating to MICs, highlighting some differences relating to what is being proposed as post-transition support for former Gavi MICs (which might include advocacy and political will building; market shaping; limited catalytic funding support for new vaccine introductions if needed; technical assistance; and support for new technologies/innovations) and never Gavi-eligible MICs. For countries in the latter category with GNI per capita of less than US$ 4,000, it is envisaged that the same types of support as for former Gavi eligible countries might be considered. For countries with GNI per capita between US$ 4,000 and US$ 6,000 there would be an assessment on whether based on their context and needs, some support should be made available to target specific bottlenecks.

6.7 Dr Berkley indicated that with the Board guidance to explore the topic further, a detailed approach would be developed and presented to the Programme and Policy Committee (PPC) and Board over the next 12 months.

Discussion

- Board members were supportive of the introduction of additional decision language around MICs. The proposed wording was introduced and the final wording discussed and agreed by the Board.

- One Board member commented that in discussions with the Secretariat since the 3 June Board teleconference, it had been agreed to modify some of the wording of the one-pager to strengthen the principles around gender. The Secretariat concurred that this had indeed been agreed in the context of a follow-up action from that meeting and the new wording was presented and agreed by the Board.

- It was agreed that an equity indicator should be included in the strategy.
Board members noted a number of issues which would be elaborated on during the operationalisation phase of the strategy, e.g. issues relating to conflicts and fragility, tailoring of interventions in countries, etc.

A number of Board members proposed changes to the wording of different sections of the strategy one-pager. The Board Chair highlighted that it was inappropriate at this stage for the Board to be proposing such detailed changes. Some limited amendments were subsequently discussed and agreed by the Board.

In relation to a question from a Board member on the link between this decision and the investment case for the replenishment, the CEO clarified that the investment case will be based upon the Board’s deliberations and decisions around the new strategy.

In response to a question, The CEO confirmed that Gavi does not encourage the earmarking of funds by donors. Should the Alliance find itself in a position whereby the full replenishment ask is not obtained, it will be the responsibility of the Board, working with the Secretariat, to prioritise activities, taking into account different potential trade-offs.

In relation to the MICs, the Board member representing the developing country vaccine manufacturers requested further engagement with their constituency, when developing the details of the approach, considering in particular that they comprise 40 vaccine manufacturers in total, many of whom have experience dealing with transitioned and MIC countries. It was agreed that it would be useful to consult with these manufacturers throughout the process.

**Decision 12**

The Gavi Alliance Board:

*Approved* the framework for the Alliance strategy 2021-2025 (‘strategy one-pager’¹) as set out in Doc 06, as amended by discussions at the Board.

**Decision 13**

As part of the discussion on the high-level strategy enshrined in the one-pager further explained in the Gavi 5.0 Board paper (Doc 06), and with reference to Goal 3, Objective C, the Gavi Alliance Board *agreed* that:

a) Gavi’s post-transition support to former Gavi-eligible countries should be institutionalised and requested the Secretariat to develop and bring back to the Board an approach focusing on political advocacy, technical assistance, innovation, market shaping and catalytic financial support to jumpstart vaccine introductions.

b) Recognising that many never Gavi-eligible countries with GNI per capita of less than US$ 4,000 face similar challenges and contexts as former Gavi-eligible countries, the

¹ Attached as Annex A to these minutes
Secretariat should also explore options to engage with these countries based on the same set of modalities.

c) The Secretariat should also explore options to engage with never Gavi-eligible countries with GNI per capita of between US$ 4,000 and US$ 6,000 although based on the needs, the modalities of engagement may be different.
d) Engagement with former and never-eligible Gavi countries should account for no more than 3% of Gavi planned expenditure in the 2021-2025 period.

e) The decision on Gavi’s approach to engagement with never-eligible countries will require Board approval and will, therefore, follow the usual governance process including review by the Programme and Policy Committee prior to Board consideration.

------

7. Gavi 5.0: Operationalising the Alliance's 2021-2025 Strategy

7.1 Anuradha Gupta, Deputy CEO, presented the high-level approach for operationalising the Alliance’s 2021-2025 strategy (Gavi 5.0) found in Doc 07.

7.2 The operationalisation phase will be articulated around six workstreams, which include:

- Development of a measurement and accountability framework for implementation of the overall strategy;
- Review of Gavi’s core funding policies to ensure they are fit for purpose;
- Refinement of programmatic approaches in line with the key thrusts of Gavi 5.0;
- Re-engineering of portfolio management processes and tools to ensure simplification and efficiency;
- Review of the partner engagement mode to further enhance country leadership and ownership, transparency and accountability besides sustainability; and
- A cross-cutting approach to innovation across immunisation products, services and practices (including private sector partnerships), with a focus on using innovations to unlock equity bottlenecks with a focus on Gavi’s comparative advantage of scale.

Discussion

- Several Board members commented that the right workstreams had been identified and that simplification should be an organising theme. Gavi should aim to draw lessons from other organisations on means of simplification.

- One Board member noted that Gavi should pause to take lessons from the process to develop Gavi 4.0 and the intention to simplify at the time, which in the end did not fully materialise, so that Gavi succeeds this time.
• It was proposed that Gavi seek to differentiate its operating model and identify some 'low hanging fruit.' Board members suggested that Gavi could consider a radical redesign of some of its processes and put in place some innovative pilots. For example, in those countries where Gavi is satisfied with the robustness of the country process, Gavi could put in place a more simplified process, requiring a simpler plan and simpler approval process; or could consolidate the number of windows of support in those countries to allow them the flexibility to best manage the funds, while still holding them accountable. Gavi should look at how other organisations are engaging in these countries.

• Several Board members indicated that if Gavi proceeds with such a differentiated approach within its operating model, it will be critical to have a discussion on the trade-offs that will come with it, including those related to simplicity and risk.

• Board members encouraged Gavi to strive to dramatically reduce the time to disburse HSS funding as the current situation was untenable.

• Several Board members indicated that Gavi should be applying a strengthened partnership focus, and that CSOs and communities will be key to success. It will be important to place countries at the centre and aim to make things easier for them.

• Board members also indicated that Gavi will need to continue to be aware of the broader global health architecture, and alignment with the SDGs. One Board member also raised questions about Gavi more extensively financing normative functions related to immunisation, which will require a broader discussion.

• Board members also suggested several other areas to consider, such as whether Gavi should understand more deeply human resources (HR) challenges in countries; do more to link up the various RiTAGs (Regional Immunization Technical Advisory Groups); further refine what equity means in the different country segments for Gavi 5.0; learn from other organisations regarding grant management; strengthen South-South collaboration; and continue to differentiate between core and expanded partners.

• One Board member suggested that Gavi may need more implementation research (e.g. on gender), and could be more explicit about the role of the Evaluation Advisory Committee in Gavi's consideration of evaluation and research.

• In response to queries from Board members on the role of the Steering Committee for the Funding Policy Review, the Secretariat confirmed that the intention is for the Steering Committee to have more detailed discussions to review the current policies and that key recommendations from the Steering Committee will be brought back to the PPC and the Board for consideration and then for review and approval.

-----
8. Review of the Strategy for Papua New Guinea

8.1 Helen Rees, Programme and Policy Committee (PPC) Chair, introduced this item (Doc 08) and provided a brief explanation of the thinking behind the PPC’s recommendation on the strategy for Papua New Guinea (PNG).

8.2 Pascal Bijleveld, Director, Country Support, gave an overview of the context of the situation in PNG, and Alexa Reynolds, Senior Country Manager, provided a brief summary of the support being proposed for PNG, highlighting the importance of political leadership in the country, the accountability framework which will be put in place and of some significant risks.

Discussion

- Board members confirmed their support for this decision, noting that this has been a good example of a mature Alliance at work, with strong collaboration across partners, early identification of a potential problem, and a clear strategy to address the issues.

- Board members also noted the substantial residual risk in this work give the difficult political, linguistic, geographic, institutional and human capital challenges present in the country.

- Several Board members indicated that the accountability framework will be critical and it was agreed that it will be important to ensure that there is ongoing monitoring to ensure that Gavi remains ahead of any challenges. It was noted that consistent with Gavi’s zero tolerance appetite, there is an expectation from some Board members that the Government of Papua New Guinea will fully repay the outstanding balance of misused funds before Gavi moves forward with the country on further programming.

- Board members stressed the importance of the buy-in of the Government of Papua New Guinea.

- Board members also indicated that continued partner collaboration will be a key success factor in this engagement. Gavi will need to further align with other actors, such as the PNG Partnership Fund, World Bank, social mobilisation efforts, local NGOs, and the church groups that are delivering many health services.

- One Board member expressed concern over HR capacity of Ministry of Health staff and that this will be something to work on in collaboration with partners across the health sector.

Decision 14

The Gavi Alliance Board:

a) **Approved**, as part of a tailored strategy to address the challenges in Papua New Guinea (“PNG”) and taking into account the request made by the Government of PNG to the Gavi Alliance Board in November 2018, that PNG be exceptionally granted an
extension of the country’s “Accelerated Transition” period (Phase 2) from 2020 to 2025;

b) **Requested** Alliance partners to engage in PNG’s National Health Planning process to assist the Government to develop specific applications for Gavi Health System Strengthening and New Vaccine Support, and identify key national commitments to health system and immunisation strengthening on which Gavi support would be conditional;

c) **Requested** the Secretariat, in the context of the National Health Planning process and in consultation with the Government and Alliance partners, to develop an accountability framework and to organise annually a review with Alliance partners and the Government to assess progress against that accountability framework.

d) **Delegated** to the Secretariat the authority to apply policy flexibilities required to respond to the circumstances in PNG during the extended Accelerated Transition period, noting that the indicative cost of this Gavi support to PNG is estimated to be an amount of US$ 60 million; and

e) **Requested** the Secretariat to provide annual updates to the PPC and an update to the Board in 2023 on the progress of Gavi’s support to PNG.

*Michael Kent Ranson (World Bank) recused himself and did not vote on Decision 14 above.*

9. **Co-financing arrangements for Inactivated Poliovirus Vaccine (IPV) post 2020**

9.1 Helen Rees, Programme and Policy Committee (PPC) Chair, introduced this item (Doc 09), recalling that the Board has discussed IPV on several occasions, including a decision in November 2018 to support IPV beyond 2020, leaving open the preferred support modality for countries. The PPC had discussed this further at its May 2019 meeting and agreed to recommend to the Board a risk-based cost-sharing approach.

9.2 Aurélie Nguyen, Managing Director, Vaccines and Sustainability, provided an overview of the proposed approach, recalling the timeline of Gavi’s support of polio vaccines, the principles on IPV engagement, and the contextual factors and financial implications for Gavi during the next strategic period, 2021-2025.

**Discussion**

- Board members indicated their support for the decision, noting that the recommendation strikes the right balance and provides assurance given that the Board will have an opportunity to review in 2022.

- In a response to a query as to how the $0.60 per infant recommendation was reached, it was clarified that this corresponds to what countries currently contribute for OPV (oral poliovirus vaccine). It was noted that there will be an opportunity to
consider this further when more information relating to a hexavalent vaccine becomes available.

- The Board member representing the vaccine manufacturers from industrialised countries noted the complexity of developing a hexavalent vaccine and cautioned around price expectations being compared to the combined costs of pentavalent and IPV.

- In light of the upcoming replenishment of the Global Polio Eradication Initiative (GPEI), Board members highlighted the importance of ensuring that messaging related to the resources needed for IPV is aligned between GPEI and Gavi.

**Decision 15**

The Gavi Alliance Board:

**Approved** support for inactivated poliovirus vaccine (IPV) based on a risk-based cost sharing approach of IPV between Gavi and countries which takes into account the epidemiologic risks of poliovirus re-emergence and country ability to share the cost of IPV. Under this approach the global withdrawal of bivalent oral poliovirus (bOPV) vaccine is used as a trigger for cost-sharing in order to ensure that existing financing for bOPV is not displaced (assumed to be US$ 0.60 per infant) from the polio programme. Thus the country financing no longer used for bOPV is used to contribute to the cost of IPV vaccine as follows: *Initial Self-financing countries*: Gavi fully finances IPV doses; *Preparatory transition countries, Accelerated transition countries and Fully Self-Financing countries*: Gavi fully finances IPV until bOPV cessation and at bOPV cessation, country funds US$ 0.60 per targeted infant with Gavi paying the remainder of IPV costs. This approach will be reviewed by the Board in 2022.

------

10. **Committee Chair and IFFIm Board reports**

10.1 The Chair introduced this item, underlining the importance of the work of the Committees and appreciation for the service of Board members, Alternate Board members and their Committee Delegates on these Committees.

*Programme and Policy Committee*

10.2 Helen Rees, Programme and Policy Committee (PPC) Chair, delivered the report of the PPC noting that the Committee had met on 8-9 May in Geneva.

10.3 She referred to a number of items which had been for information, discussion or guidance and highlighted three recommendations which had been presented to the Board for consideration, relating to the Cold Chain Equipment Optimisation Platform (CCEOP), PNG and IPV.

10.4 Referring to earlier discussions during this meeting on HPV, Professor Rees informed the Board that a decision had just been taken in the US to extend HPV vaccines to older women, highlighting that this might further impact what is currently a limited supply of available vaccines for Gavi countries.
Discussion

- Board members agreed on the importance of closely monitoring the HPV vaccine supply situation, noting also that it is foreseen that the Strategic Group of Experts (SAGE) on Immunization plans to discuss the possibility of a single dose of the vaccine being sufficient to ensure immunity, or the option of a deferred second dose.

- The Board member representing the CSO constituency underlined the importance of ensuring that vaccine availability for Gavi should not be profit driven, but rather ethically driven to provide for communities with the greatest disease burden. It was suggested that Gavi 5.0 should include accountability frameworks for holding private sector accountable and noted that the framework outlined in the UN high level panel on holding private sector accountable for Women's Children's and Adolescent's health could perhaps be used/adapted for this purpose.

- Representatives from the vaccine manufacturers constituencies proposed to work together to come up with a proposal and revert back to the Board.

Audit and Finance Committee

10.5 David Sidwell, Audit and Finance Committee (AFC) Chair, delivered the report of the AFC. He referred to the ongoing work related to the review of the 2018 financial statements, including the audit processes of the new external auditor, Deloitte. He confirmed that the AFC is on track to recommend approval of the 2018 annual financial report to the Board at its meeting in July 2019.

10.6 Mr Sidwell noted that the AFC has been diligent in reviewing the financial forecasts for both the remainder of this strategic period and for the next, including the assured resources going into the 2021-2025 period. The AFC will continue to monitor this closely and report back to the Board on any potential adjustments.

10.7 He referred to the importance, in particular in the context of the upcoming replenishment, of currency hedging and referenced changes to the current hedging policy which were approved at this meeting on the consent agenda.

10.8 Mr Sidwell reported to the Board on the AFC deliberations on IT and the implementation of the SAP system, noting that implementation is on track to roll out the first phase in October.

10.9 In relation to grant management, he referred to a number of audit findings which can only be tackled when the necessary steps to automate and streamline the processes are taken. Risk appetite on cyber threats was discussed with management, with a number of milestones planned to be met by this quarter, with others extending into 2020.

10.10 In relation to risk management, Mr Sidwell noted impressive progress in a short period of time, with risk mitigation being embedded in both country level and internal processes and appreciated the work being done to further engage partners in this area.
10.11 Finally, Mr Sidwell sincerely expressed his thanks to Barry Greene, Managing Director, Finance and Operations, noting that he has served the committee well and ensured a smooth transition with his successor.

**Governance Committee**

10.12 William Roedy, Governance Committee Chair, presented to the Board the routine work conducted by the Committee including Board and Committee nominations, recruitment of Unaffiliated Board members and the monitoring of the Gender Policy for Board and Committee nominations.

10.13 He referred to the recent Board Effectiveness Review, the outcomes of which had been considered by the Governance Committee at its meeting immediately prior to this Board meeting, and he referenced the full report which the Board can access on BoardEffect.

10.14 Mr Roedy noted that the review had highlighted some of the challenges related to the high turnover on the Board. It was also suggested that if the Board wishes to prioritise strategic discussions, consideration might be given to delegate more authority to the Board Committees. Other issues highlighted related to time management and statement reading at Board meetings and the need for succinct executive summaries in Board papers.

10.15 He noted that in the context of discussions around this review, Governance Committee members had discussed the importance of ensuring that the Gavi governance model is fit for purpose for Gavi 5.0 and this is something which will be taken forward by the Committee in the coming months.

10.16 Finally, Mr Roedy indicated that the Governance Committee has initiated the processes for the recruitment of a new Board Chair and nomination of the Board Vice Chair.

**Investment Committee**

10.17 Stephen Zinser, Investment Committee Chair, delivered the report of the Investment Committee.

10.18 He noted that the market sentiment is moving towards fragile, referencing mounting risks to global growth and highlighting some of the current geopolitical risks. He noted that world growth is declining reflecting effects of trade tensions and country-specific factors. However, it was noted that markets are showing differing views, with lower yields indicating slowing economies at the same time as equity markets showing growth. Mr Zinser noted that either way the portfolio has to be prepared for both scenarios.

10.19 Mr Zinser outlined fixed income index returns, equity index returns, as well as tactical index returns, and noted the short-term portfolio held US$ 806 million and the long-term portfolio constitutes US$ 884 million. He outlined that the portfolio had generated estimated year-to-date investment income of US$ 63.9 million.
Mr Zinser presented the key highlights of the first half of 2019 which include income generation, ESG (environmental, social and governance) transition, manager rotation and annual audit, as well as the highlights for the second half of 2019 including liquidity risk, maintaining focus on capital preservation, evaluating climate risk, and managers due diligence.

Discussion

- In response to a question related to climate risk assessment, Mr Zinser clarified that a consultant was engaged to assist with work in this area.

- One Board member asked if the portfolio comprised any gender positive investments. Mr Zinser and the Secretariat noted that this would be looked at but was not an area which is generally a focus of SRI (socially responsible investments).

Evaluation Advisory Committee

Rob Moodie, Evaluation Advisory Committee (EAC) Chair, delivered the report of the EAC, noting that Committee had been working on revisions to the Gavi Evaluation Policy and to the EAC Terms of Reference, both of which were presented to this Board for approval on the consent agenda.

He provided key evaluation updates, referring to the evaluation of measles campaigns and their effects on the overall immunisation system, the evaluation of Gavi support to CSOs, the CCEOP evaluation, and the evaluation of the Gavi gender policy. He noted that the EAC had recently discussed the fact that independent evaluation teams are not necessarily best placed to draft recommendations based on their evaluation findings and this is something which will require further discussion going forward.

Dr Moodie noted that this Board meeting would be his last meeting in his capacity as Chair of the EAC, and shared his reflections and learnings. He noted a major increase in interest in evaluation across Gavi, and recognition of the importance of including evaluation learnings into all aspects of Gavi’s work. He further noted that theory of change is becoming a cornerstone of the evaluation work and has been embedded into the development of the new strategy. In order to maintain a focus on evaluation, he referred to the importance of ensuring a strong link between the EAC and the PPC going forward.

In relation to the development of Gavi 5.0, he reported on how the evaluation function was engaged in the design process. He also presented the EAC workplan for 2019-2020.

Dr Moodie informed the Board about the collaboration with the Global Fund Technical Evaluation Reference Group (TERG), where a joint meeting was held in April 2019, further noting that a process of developing a joint programme of work is underway.

Finally, he thanked the Board, EAC members and the Secretariat for their work and support during his time as Chair of the Committee, noting that in his view that
Gavi thinks, does and learns in a better way than many other organisations, and he looked forward to seeing this continue going forward.

Discussion

- Dr Moodie responded to a request to reflect on the biggest learnings, noting that it is of utmost importance to look into the value of conducting any evaluation and thinking through the real added value before work is done.

- Board members noted that timelines for evaluations need to be carefully considered, as they are often lengthy exercises. The importance of an efficient feedback loop between the EAC and PPC was highlighted in this context to ensure that the work of the EAC is inputting to PPC recommendations and Board decisions in a timely manner.

- In responding to a query on the monitoring and evaluation vision in relation to Gavi 5.0, Dr Hope Johnson, Director, Monitoring and Evaluation noted that monitoring and evaluation used to be mutually exclusive, but a shift was made to focus evaluation on learning, and trying to find the right time to use these learnings like assessment and accountability, as well as how to better synthesise and use the information available. Strengthening monitoring and making it responsive in the next strategic period is a key priority, and the unit is looking into a more holistic way at monitoring and evaluation activities.

- Board members noted that there is increased engagement between the evaluation teams of the Secretariat and Alliance partners.

IFFIm

10.27 Cyrus Ardalan, IFFIm Board Chair, started his presentation by providing an update on IFFIm’s financial position which remains strong, highlighting that there is substantial capacity to finance Gavi 4.0 and support Gavi 5.0 and that IFFIm’s impact since inception is significant.

10.28 Mr Ardalan noted that IFFIm’s innovative frontloading mechanism and flexible financing is a catalyst for saving lives. He provided an overview on IFFIm’s access to capital markets and noted two significant transactions, namely the use of IFFIm to frontload the Norwegian pledge to CEPI (Coalition for Epidemic Preparedness Innovations) and a private placement with the Islamic Development Bank (ISDB).

10.29 Mr Ardalan referred to IFFIm 2019 strategic initiatives at both the operational and financial levels.

10.30 In the context of Gavi 5.0 and replenishment, he noted that related discussions prompted reflection on IFFIm in the broader context and beyond. He informed the Board that IFFIm is at a critical conjuncture, because by end of the 5.0 strategy period the commitments remaining within IFFIm will drop to less than half a billion US$. The commitments will peak next year and then will drop quickly. In this context, by the middle of the next strategic period, IFFIm will not be in a position to provide any frontloading, with potential negative impact on its ratings.
10.31 In order to retain IFFIm’s ability to continue to function, it would be critical to consider what additional resources are required to maintain the mechanism. He referred to efforts being considered to make the current structure more efficient, and to introduce additional flexibilities in receiving pledges. He noted targeting private sector funding which is an important opportunity to pursue.

Discussion

- The Chair underlined that IFFIm is one of the most innovative instruments that is available for Gavi, and invited the Board to reflect on what should be done to enable the continuation of this instrument, noting that either the Board considers IFFIm’s mission accomplished, or considers how to maintain the achievements made so far and make them stronger.

- The CEO noted that IFFIm needs to be part of the conversations with donors and partners in the context of replenishment and encouraged the Board to consider the long-term benefits of maintaining IFFIm, such as epidemic response, polio, amongst others.

- Board members echoed the importance of IFFIm and noted that shaping an approach to how to move forward sooner rather than later is critical.

- In response to a query on the ideal arrangement for IFFIm to continue operating in the longer term, Mr Ardalan noted that no substantial work has been done at this point, but in his view the minimum required for IFFIm going forward would be US$ 1 billion in order to maintain the integrity and sustainability of the mechanism. The maturity structure and the type of countries that will join IFFIm are also important in this regard, noting longer term commitments and stronger ratings would yield a stronger balance sheet and ratings.

- In relation to replenishment, it was noted that new donors may find the IFFIm mechanism somewhat complex. Board members indicated that it would be useful for them to have further information on investment cases and a simplified narrative on how IFFIm worked, and more analysis on relative return on investment historically by the next Board meeting.

11. Report from Audit & Investigations

11.1 Simon Lamb, Managing Director, Audit and Investigations (A&I), presented this item (Doc 11). He outlined progress made on developing the audit and investigations function, presented the work done so far taking a multiyear view and the way forward drawing on lessons learned and how to best work across the Alliance.

11.2 Mr Lamb noted that the A&I Terms of Reference had been reviewed recently to ensure compliance with best practice and had been approved at this meeting on the consent agenda.
11.3 He provided an overview of the country reimbursement schedule including the very high level of reimbursement rates, on A&I activity to end of 2019, on activities related to programme audit remediation and on the strategic alliances which are being built with countries and partners, both within and outside the Alliance.

11.4 Mr Lamb informed the Board that the Global Fund Office of the Inspector General (OIG) is undertaking an analysis on the capacities of in-country institutions, and the A&I function intends to build on and draw on their work.

Discussion

- Board members expressed their appreciation of the A&I function which is essential for providing assurance, strongly supporting ongoing improvement initiatives and finding appropriate ways to monitor implementation. In particular collaboration with partners of the Alliance and external parties was highly appreciated.

- David Sidwell, AFC Chair, noted that an external quality assurance of the A&I function will take place at the end of this year, which is required to be undertaken every five years, to ensure that the function remains fit for purpose.

- Board members noted the need to find the right balance between moving forward with Gavi 5.0, responding to management challenges and looking at how in-country audit capacity might be strengthened.

- In response to a number of comments from Board members, Mr. Lamb noted that in-country capacity challenges in different areas, including human resources in particular, is a cross-cutting theme frequently identified in the work of the Programme Audit team.

12. Review of decisions

12.1 Philip Armstrong, Director of Governance and Secretary to the Board, reviewed and agreed the decisions with the Board.

13. Closing remarks and review of Board workplan

13.1 The Chair expressed her thanks and appreciation to the Board for a productive meeting.

13.2 After determining there was no further business, the meeting was brought to a close.
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