INTERNAL AUDIT REPORT

Internal Audit of SAP post-implementation review

May 2026

Gavi
The Vaccine Alliance

Table of Contents

1. Conclusion 3
2. Objectives, scope and approach 3

Annexes 4
Annex 1 - Methodology 4
Annex 2 – Definitions: audit rating and prioritisation 4

1. Conclusion

Our audit procedures were conducted to provide assurance to management and the Gavi Board on the adequacy and effectiveness of key controls within the SAP environment supporting core financial processes.

Our audit recommendations were shared with management who agreed to take action.

2. Objectives, scope and approach

Our audit objectives, scope and approach followed a risk-based methodology. We will continue to work with management to ensure that actions are taken to close audit recommendations.

Audit and Investigations

Annexes

Annex 1 - Methodology

Gavi's Audit and Investigations (A&I) audits are conducted in conformance with the Global Internal Audit Standards of the Institute of Internal Auditors. These Standards constitute the fundamental requirements for the professional practice of internal auditing and for evaluating the effectiveness of the audit activity's performance. The Institute of Internal Auditors' Global Guidance is also adhered to as applicable to guide operations. In addition, A&I staff adhere to A&I's Audit Manual.

The principles and details of the A&I's audit approach are described in its Board-approved Terms of Reference and Audit Manual and specific terms of reference for each engagement. These documents help our auditors to provide high quality professional work, and to operate efficiently and effectively. They help safeguard the independence of the A&I's auditors and the integrity of their work. The A&I's Audit Manual contains detailed instructions for carrying out its audits, in line with the appropriate standards and expected quality.

In general, the scope of A&I's work extends not only to the Gavi Secretariat but also to the programmes and activities carried out by Gavi's grant recipients and partners. More specifically, its scope encompasses the examination and evaluation of the adequacy and effectiveness of Gavi's governance, risk management processes, system of internal control, and the quality of performance in carrying out assigned responsibilities to achieve stated goals and objectives.

Annex 2 – Definitions: audit rating and prioritisation

Issue Rating

For ease of follow up and to enable management to focus effectively in addressing the issues in our report, we have classified the issues arising from our review in order of significance: High, Medium, and Low. In ranking the issues between 'High', 'Medium' and 'Low', we have considered the relative importance of each matter, taken in the context of both quantitative and qualitative factors, such as the relative magnitude and the nature and effect on the subject matter. This is in accordance with the Committee of Sponsoring Organisations of the Treadway Committee (COSO) guidance and the Institute of Internal Auditors standards.

Rating	Implication
High	At least one instance of the criteria described below is applicable to the issue raised: • Controls mitigating high inherent risks or strategic business risks are either inadequate or ineffective. • The issues identified may result in a risk materialising that could either have: a major impact on delivery of organisational objectives; major reputation damage; or major financial consequences. • The risk has either materialised or the probability of it occurring is very likely and the mitigations put in place do not mitigate the risk. • Fraud and unethical behaviour including management override of key controls. Management attention is required as a matter of priority.
Medium	At least one instance of the criteria described below is applicable to the issue raised: • Controls mitigating medium inherent risks are either inadequate or ineffective. • The issues identified may result in a risk materialising that could either have: a moderate impact on delivery of organisational objectives; moderate reputation damage; or moderate financial consequences. • The probability of the risk occurring is possible and the mitigations put in place moderately reduce the risk. Management action is required within a reasonable time period.
Low	At least one instance of the criteria described below is applicable to the issue raised: • Controls mitigating low inherent risks are either inadequate or ineffective. • The Issues identified could have a minor negative impact on the risk and control environment. • The probability of the risk occurring is unlikely to happen. Corrective action is required as appropriate.